

## Comment from Lauren Zenk

I don't agree with this. This opportunity is likely to lead to additional motor vehicle accidents with the high potential to cause serious injury in instances when a pedestrian is out of the scope of the CMR. CMRs should be an optional add-on for drivers and manufacturers alike, but should not replace rearview mirrors. This is something that will affect nearly everyone's lives. Even if one isn't a driver, if he or she walks around or rides as a passenger in the vehicle of another, they may still be affected by this proposed change. As our reliance on technology grows, I can understand why this rule has been proposed, however I fear that this technology would not be a suitable substitute for rearview mirrors as it may cause people to miss pedestrians or other vehicles resting in the peripheral. I believe this replacement would be a grave mistake and would lead to many otherwise-preventable accidents. I think a better solution would be to use both a CMR and a rearview mirror, as is the case in many newer model vehicles today.