# NEW CAR ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (NCAP) DYNAMIC ROLLOVER RESISTANCE TEST

FORD MOTOR CO.

2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD

TEST NUMBER: OCAS-DRI-RR-19-09

#### Final Report 22 July 2019



Prepared by:

Dynamic Research, Inc 355 Van Ness Ave. #200 Torrance, CA 90501

Prepared for:

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Office of Crash Avoidance Standards 1200 New Jersey Avenue S.E. Washington, DC 20590 The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents of this report or use thereof. If trade or manufacturers' names or products are mentioned, it is only because they are considered essential to the object of the publication and should not be construed as an endorsement. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers.

Dynamic Research, Inc. does not endorse or certify products of manufacturers. The manufacturer's name appears solely to identify the test article. Dynamic Research, Inc. assumes no liability for the report or use thereof. It is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

Report Prepared by:

John Lenkeit, Program Manager	Date:	22 July 2019	
-------------------------------	-------	--------------	--

Technical Report Documentation Page

		Tec	hnical Report Docum	entation Page
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3.	Recipient's Catalog N	lo.
OCAS-DRI-RR-				
19-09		5.		
4. Title and Subtitle			Report Date	
NCAP Dynamic Roll			22 July 2019	
Maneuver (Fishhook)			Performing Organizat	tion Code
Ranger Supercab 4V	VD Truck		DRI	
7. Author(s)			Performing Organizat	tion Report No.
John Lenkeit, Pro	•		DRI- TM-18-77	
Jonathan Robel,				
9. Performing Organization Na			Work Unit No. (TRAIS	
Dynamic Research,			Contract or Grant No.	
355 Van Ness Ave. #	<sup>‡</sup> 200		DTNH22-14-D-0	00332
Torrance, CA 90501				
12. Sponsoring Agency Nam	e and Address	13.	Type of Report and P	Period Covered
			Final Report	
	affic Safety Administration		May 2019 to Jul	y 2019
Office of Crash Avoid	lance Standards		-	
1200 New Jersey Av	enue S.E.			
Washington, DC 205				
6				
		14.	Sponsoring Agency C	Code
15. Supplemental Notes				
15. Supplemental Notes				
15. Supplemental Notes				
<ul><li>15. Supplemental Notes</li><li>16. Abstract</li></ul>				
16. Abstract	over Maneuver (Fishbook)	Test w		n a 2019 Ford
16. Abstract An NCAP Dynamic Roll	over Maneuver (Fishhook)			
<ul><li>16. Abstract</li><li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li><li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li></ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc.	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul><li>16. Abstract</li><li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li><li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li></ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir	on M	lay 15, 2019.  T	he vehicle did
16. Abstract An NCAP Dynamic Roll Ranger Supercab 4WD not experience two-whe at 50 mph was 34.2 deg	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees.	on M ig ang	lay 15, 2019.  T le at 0.3 g latera	he vehicle did
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. 18. Distribu	on M ng ang	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera	he vehicle did al acceleration
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>NCAP Rollover Resist</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings	on M ng ang tion Stat	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera <sup>tement</sup> s report are availa	The vehicle did al acceleration
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings	tion Stat s of this al High	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera <sup>tement</sup> s report are availa hway Traffic Safet	The vehicle did al acceleration able from: able from:
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Roll</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>NCAP Rollover Resist</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings   18. Distribu Copies Nation Office	tion Stat s of this al High of Cras	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera <sup>tement</sup> s report are availa hway Traffic Safet sh Avoidance Sta	The vehicle did al acceleration able from: ble from:
<ul> <li>Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Rolle</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>NCAP Rollover Resist</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings Nation Office 1200 N	tion Stat s of this al High of Cras New Je	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera s report are availa hway Traffic Safet sh Avoidance Sta ersey Ave., S.E.	The vehicle did al acceleration able from: able from:
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Rolle</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>NCAP Rollover Resis</li> <li>Fishhook Test</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings Nation Office 1200 M Washi	tion Stat s of this al High of Cras New Je ngton,	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera s report are availa nway Traffic Safet sh Avoidance Sta ersey Ave., S.E. DC 20590	The vehicle did al acceleration able from: able from: ay Admin. ndards
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Rolle Ranger Supercab 4WD not experience two-whe at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words NCAP Rollover Resis Fishhook Test</li> <li>19. Security Classif. (of this</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings Nation Office 1200 N Washi 20. Security Classif. (of this	tion Stat s of this al High of Cras New Je ngton,	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera s report are availa nway Traffic Safet sh Avoidance Sta ersey Ave., S.E. <u>DC 20590</u> Number of Pages	The vehicle did al acceleration able from: ble from:
<ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>An NCAP Dynamic Rolle</li> <li>Ranger Supercab 4WD</li> <li>not experience two-whe</li> <li>at 50 mph was 34.2 deg</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>NCAP Rollover Resis</li> <li>Fishhook Test</li> </ul>	at Dynamic Research, Inc. el lift. The vehicle's steerir rees. stance Ratings Nation Office 1200 M Washi	tion Stat s of this al High of Cras New Je ngton,	lay 15, 2019. T le at 0.3 g latera s report are availa nway Traffic Safet sh Avoidance Sta ersey Ave., S.E. DC 20590	The vehicle did al acceleration able from: able from: ay Admin. ndards

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page
I.	INTF	RODUC	CTION	1
II.	VEH	IICLE F	PREPARATION	2
	A.	Test V	/ehicle	2
	В.	Tires.		2
	C.		e Loading	
	D.	Steeri	ng Controller	5
	E.		Fime Controller and Data Acquisition	
	F.	Equip	ment Weight	6
	G.	Senso	ors	6
	Н.	Other	Vehicle Preparation	7
III.				
	A.		Procedure Overview	
	В.	Test C	Conditions	
IV.	RES	ULTS.		14
APP	END	IX A	Photographs	A-1
APP	END	IX B	Test Run Log	B-1
APP	END	IX C	Slowly Increasing Steer Test Worksheet	
APP	END	IX D	Time History Plots	D-1

		Page
1.	Nominal Position of Video Cameras for Fishhook Tests	9
2.	DRI-Minter Vehicle Dynamics Area	13

# Page

1.	Test Vehicle Data	. 3
	Tire Information	
3.	Vehicle Loading	. 4
4.	Weight of In-Cab Test equipment	. 6
5.	Sensor Specifications	.8
6.	Surface Friction	11
7.	Handwheel Angles	11
8.	Weather Conditions	12

# Section I

Beginning with the 2006 fiscal year, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has engaged Dynamic Research, Inc. (DRI) of Torrance, CA to conduct dynamic rollover testing and gather data from that testing as part of NHTSA's New Car Assessment Program (NCAP).

The purpose of the testing reported herein was to determine if a typical 2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD would experience tip-up, defined as simultaneous two-wheel lift of two inches or more at an entry speed of 50 mph or less in the Fishhook Procedure developed by NHTSA. This procedure may be found at www.regulations.gov, docket item NHTSA-2006-26555-0136.

The testing reported herein was accomplished under contract DTNH22-14-D-00332. The task order is entitled, "New Car Assessment Program (NCAP) Non-Destructive Vehicle Testing and Data Gathering."

## Section II VEHICLE PREPARATION

#### A. TEST VEHICLE

The test vehicle was new or in as-new condition, meaning the vehicle had been driven no more than 500 miles prior to the start of dynamic rollover testing. It was acquired through a commercial rental/leasing company. Details of the test vehicle are given in Table 1.

#### B. TIRES

All tires used were new, and of the same make, model, size, and DOT specification of those installed on the vehicle when purchased new. Tire inflation pressures were in accordance with the recommendations indicated on each vehicle's identification placard. To further reduce the possibility of tire debeading, the tires were mounted to the rims without the use of tire mounting lubricant. Tire specifications are listed in Table 2.

#### C. VEHICLE LOADING

The multi-passenger load, described in the Fishhook Procedure, was used for all tests. The load and positioning of the load in the vehicle are listed in Table 3.

In addition to water dummies, the loading included instrumentation, a steering machine, and outriggers. Test vehicle bumper assemblies were removed for outrigger installation. The reduction in vehicle weight due to the removal of the bumpers was offset by the additional weight of the outriggers and their mounting system. The outrigger system typically outweighs the bumper assemblies.

	General	Data			
Model year, make, model 2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD					
VIN	1FTER1F	1FTER1FH1KLA1xxxx			
Body style	Truck				
Number of doors	4				
Trim level	Supercab	4x4 Lariat			
Seating positions	Front:	2 <sup>nd</sup> row	3 <sup>rd</sup> row	4 <sup>th</sup> row	5 <sup>th</sup> row
	2	2	0	0	0
Electronic stability control	Yes				
4-Wheel ABS (Yes/No)	Yes				
Power steering (Yes/No)	Yes				
Major optional equipment	Technology Package, Trailer Tow Package, Bed Utility Package				
Odometer at start of testing	15 miles				
	Drivetra	ain			
Engine cylinder arrangement Inline 4					
Engine displacement	isplacement 2.3 L				
Transmission type Automatic					
Drive arrangement 4WD					
	Chass	is			
Track width	F: 61.4 in	(1559.6 mn	n),R: 61.4	in (1559.6	mm)
Wheelbase	126.8 in (3	3220.7 mm)			
Curb weight	4414 lb (2	2002.2 kg)			
Certifica	tion Data fror	n Vehicle's	Label		
Vehicle manufactured by	FORD MC	DTOR CO.			
Date of manufacture	02/19				
GVWR	6050 lb	(2744 kg)			
GAWR Front	3108 lb	(1410 kg)			
GAWR Rear	3370 lb	(1529 kg)			

Tire Manufacturer	Hankook
Tire Model	Dynapro ATM
Tire Size	Front: 265/60R18 Rear: 265/60R18
Load rating	Front: 110 Rear: 110
Speed rating	Front: T Rear: T
Treadwear grade	Front: 500 Rear: 500
Traction grade	Front: A Rear: A
Temperature grade	Front: B Rear: B
Location of "Recommended Tire Pressure" label	Driver's door jamb
Recommended cold tire pressure	Front: 30 psi, (210 kPa) Rear: 30 psi, (210 kPa)
First 8 digits of DOT code	Front: 1T7FA RF H0 Rear: 1T7FA RF H0

Table 3. Vehicle Loading

Water dummy and other loading	3 water dummies - 2 in second row of PU truck , 1 in bed ("3rd row", center)		
Water dummy weight	175 lb (79.4 kg)		
Fuel level	Full		
Weight as Tested			
Left front	1551 lb (703.5 kg)		
Right front	1441 lb (653.6 kg)		
Left rear	1268 lb (575.2 kg)		
Right rear	1174 lb (532.5 kg)		

#### D. STEERING CONTROLLER

Precise controlled steering is accomplished using a steering machine designed and constructed by DRI. DRI has used its Automated Vehicle Controller (AVC) steering machine for many vehicle tests including FMVSS 126 tests. It can provide up to 65 ft-lb torque and rates over 1300 deg/sec. The integrated angle encoder has an unlimited range with a resolution of 0.045 degrees and an accuracy of ±0.045 degrees. The steering motor is controlled by a MicroAutoBox II from dSPACE, which also acts as the data acquisition system.

#### E. REAL-TIME CONTROLLER AND DATA ACQUISITION

Data acquisition is achieved using a MicroAutoBox II from dSPACE, which also serves as the real-time system for the steering controller. Data from the Oxford IMU, including Longitudinal, Lateral, and Vertical Acceleration, Roll, Yaw, and Pitch Rate, Forward and Lateral Velocity, Roll and Pitch Angle, are sent over Ethernet to the Micro AutoBox. The Oxford IMUs are calibrated per the manufacturer's recommended schedule (Table 5). The MicroAutoBox II specifications are:

> Model: D-Space Micro-Autobox II 1401/1513 Base Board SN 549068 I/O Board SN 588523

Two video cameras were used to record the Fishhook runs. They were positioned nominally as shown in Figure 1. The recorded videotapes were reviewed after the Fishhook runs to check for any two-wheel lift. If any two-wheel lift was observed, eight infrared distancemeasuring sensors for measurement of wheel lift (two sensors at each wheel) were then mounted for use in subsequent confirmation Fishhook tests.

#### F. EQUIPMENT WEIGHT

Table 4 lists the equipment and associated weights outlined in the NHTSA Laboratory Test Procedure for Dynamic Rollover and the equipment at DRI used for this specific test program. The equipment used at DRI for this test program differs slightly from the equipment that was previously used by NHTSA for rollover testing. Because DRI's equipment is lighter than NHTSA's equipment, DRI uses ballast to maintain a consistent weight and weight distribution in the vehicle.

Equipment	Location	Equipment Weight (Ib)	
		NHTSA*	DRI
Data Acquisition System	Front passenger seat	58	
Steering Machine	Handwheel	31	31
Steering Machine Electronics Box	Passenger row foot well behind the front passenger seat. If vehicle does not have a rear passenger row foot well, the Electronics Box should be placed in the front passenger seat foot well.	39	
MABX, and laptop	Front passenger seat		21
Motor control and power supply	Front passenger footwell		26
Ballast	Front passenger footwell		50
	Total	128	128

Table 4. Weight of In-Cab Test Equ	Jipment
------------------------------------	---------

\* Table A.1 from US DOT NHTSA - Laboratory Test Procedure for Dynamic Rollover - The Fishhook Maneuver Test Procedure - New Car Assessment Program (NCAP) - March 2013

#### G. SENSORS

A list of the sensors is given in Table 5.

#### H. OTHER VEHICLE PREPARATION

In addition to installation and preparation discussed above, the test vehicle was prepared as follows:

- Front and rear bumpers were removed
- Outrigger mounts were installed in the bumper locations and titanium outriggers were fastened to these mounts
- Airbags were removed or otherwise disabled

Photographs of the vehicle tested are given in Appendix A.

Table 5. Sensors

Measured Variable	Sensor	Range	Resolution	Accuracy	Specifics	Serial Number	Calibration
Vehicle Tire Pressure	Tire Pressure Gauge	0-100 psi 0-690 kPa	0.01 psi 6.89 kPa	< 1% error between 20 and 100 psi	Omega DPG8001	17042707002	By: DRI Date: 6/21/2018 Due: 6/21/2019
Vehicle Total,	Platform Scales (Minter)	1200 lb/platform 5338 N/platform	1 lb 4.4 N	0.5% of applied load	Intercomp SWI	1110M206352	By: DRI Date: 1/3/2019 Due: 1/3/2020
Wheel, and Axle Load	Platform Scales (Torrance)	1500 lb/platform 6672 N/platform	1 lb 4.4 N	0.5% of applied load	Intercomp SWI	24032361	By: DRI Date: 12/11/2018 Due: 12/11/2019
Handwheel Angle	Steering Angle Encoder (Automated Steering Controller)	±800 deg	0.045 deg	±0.045 deg	DRI Automatic Vehicle Controller using D-Space Micro- Autobox II	NA	Verified by DRI at installation <sup>1</sup>
Longitudinal, Lateral, and Vertical Acceleration Roll, Yaw, and Pitch Rate, Forward and Lateral Velocity, Roll and Pitch Angle	Multi-Axis Inertial Sensing System	Accels ± 10g, Angular Rate ±100 deg/s, Angle >45 deg, Velocity >200 km/h	Accels ± 10g, Angular Rate ±100 deg/s, Angle >45 deg, Velocity >200 km/h	Accels ± 10g, Angular Rate ±100 deg/s, Angle >45 deg, Velocity >200 km/h	Oxford Inertial +	2258	By: Oxford Technical Solutions Date: 5/3/2019 Due: 5/3/2021

<sup>1.</sup> The steering encoder is checked prior to beginning tests to verify that there are no faults. The steering controller is installed in the vehicle and the steering wheel is turned through two complete revolutions while recording data. The data are then reviewed for any dropouts or other nonlinearities that would indicate dust intrusion or faulty sectors.




Figure 1. Nominal Position of Video Cameras for Fishhook Tests

## Section III TEST PROCEDURES

This section includes a general overview of the test procedures and details of the particular test.

## A. TEST PROCEDURE OVERVIEW

This test was conducted in accordance with NHTSA's NCAP Rollover Resistance Test Procedure (Fishhook) as described in the Federal Register (68 FR 59250). Detailed descriptions of the test procedure, pass/fail criteria, and data acquisition specifications may be found at docket NHTSA-2001-9663.

There are two major components of the test procedure, the Slowly Increasing Steer (SIS) pre-test and the Fishhook test.

The Slowly Increasing Steer (SIS) maneuver was used to characterize the steady state lateral dynamics of each vehicle, and is based on the "Constant Speed, Variable Steer" test defined in SAE J266. The maneuver is used to determine the handwheel angle that produces a lateral acceleration of 0.3 g at 50 mph. This handwheel angle is then used to determine the magnitude of steering to be used for the NHTSA Fishhook maneuver.

SIS tests were performed at a constant speed of 50 mph. Handwheel angle was input at a rate of 13.5 deg/sec, from 0 to an angle that provided at least 0.55 g. Three tests were conducted in each direction, and the data for the six runs were averaged to obtain the handwheel angle that produced 0.3 g at 50 mph.

The Fishhook test is a programmed steering maneuver that is implemented via the steering controller. The vehicle was initially steered in one direction and then the steering was reversed. The timing, magnitude, and rate of the steering were prescribed by the Fishhook Procedure.

To begin the maneuver, the vehicle was driven in a straight line at a speed slightly greater than the desired entrance speed. The driver then released the throttle. When the vehicle was at the target speed, the steering controller automatically initiated the steering maneuver. Following completion of the steering reversal the handwheel position was maintained for three seconds, and then returned to zero angle in 1 second. The tests were conducted in both left-right and right-left directions. The "Default" test series used a handwheel angle equal to 6.5 times the handwheel angle that produced 0.3 g at 50 mph in the SIS tests, and initial vehicle speeds beginning at 35 mph and concluding up to 50 mph (if no two-wheel lift occurs). Supplemental tests were also done, as specified in the Fishhook Procedure.

## B. TEST CONDITIONS

#### 1. Test Surface

The tests were conducted on the Vehicle Dynamics Area at DRI's Minter Field facility, located near Bakersfield, California, on 5/15/2019. The VDA has a smooth, flat (slope less than 0.5% throughout) asphaltic concrete surface. Its dimensions are as shown in Figure 2. It was built in the spring of 2005.

VDA surface friction measurements were accomplished using the DRI Mobile Tire Tester. Three runs were done, one at each of three previously determined locations. Each run provided for a minimum of 3 seconds of tire friction at constant normal load, slip angle, and speed in a free rolling condition. The test was accomplished using an ASTM E1136 tire with an inflation pressure of 35 ( $\pm$ 0.5) psi at a test speed of 40 ( $\pm$ 0.5) mph. The net slip angle of the test tire for each test run was 7.5 deg. The test tire was no older than 6 months from the date of manufacture. The surface friction measurement results are shown in Table 6.

#### Table 6. Surface Friction

Date of surface friction measurements	5/15/2019
Average normalized lateral force	0.818

### 2. Fishhook Handwheel Angles

The 0.3 g handwheel angle obtained from the SIS tests and the handwheel angles used in the Fishhook tests are shown in Table 7.

0.3 g handwheel angle (from SIS tests at 50 mph)	34.2°
5.5 scalar handwheel angle for Fishhook Test	188°
6.5 scalar handwheel angle for Fishhook Test	222°

## 3. Weather Conditions

The weather conditions, recorded at the end of testing, are shown in Table 8.

Ambient temperature	73.4° F ( 23° C)		
Wind Speed	13.8 mph (6.2 m/s)		
Wind Direction	310		

Table 8. Weather Conditions




Figure 2. DRI-Minter Vehicle Dynamics Area

# Section IV RESULTS

The test run log is given in Appendix B. The Slowly Increasing Steer Test Worksheet is given in Appendix C. Appendix D contains time history plots for the 50 mph runs and any runs which resulted in two-wheel lift. For the 2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD, there was no two-wheel lift at any test condition.

APPENDIX A

Photographs

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
A1.	Window Sticker	A-3
A2.	Front View, Test Vehicle as Delivered	A-4
A3.	Rear View, Test Vehicle as Delivered	A-5
A4.	Front View, Test Vehicle in Test Condition	A-6
A5.	Rear View, Test Vehicle in Test Condition	A-7
A6.	Certification Label	A-8
A7.	Tire Placard	A-9
A8.	Steering Controller and Computer	. A-10
A9.	Instrumentation and Rear Seat Ballast in Test Vehicle	. A-11
A10.	Bed Ballast	. A-12




Figure A1. Window Sticker



Figure A2. Front View, Test Vehicle as Delivered



Figure A3. Rear View, Test Vehicle as Delivered



Figure A4. Front View, Test Vehicle in Test Condition



Figure A5. Rear View, Test Vehicle in Test Condition




Figure A6. Certification Label

SI	EATING CAPACITY	LOADING TOTAL: 4 FRON		REAR: 2
combinand car	ned weight of occu rgo should never e	xceed: 678	kg or 14	95 lbs.
TIRE	SIZE	COLD TIRE PRESSURE	SEE OW	NERS TER
FRONT	265/60R18 110T	210 KPA, 30 PSI	MANUAL	
REAR	265/60R18 110T	210 KPA, 30 PSI	ADDITIO	
SPARE	255/70R16 111T	260 KPA, 38 PSI	INFORM/	TION

Figure A7. Tire Placard




Figure A8. Steering Controller and Computer



Figure A9. Instrumentation and Rear Seat Ballast in Test Vehicle



Figure A10. Bed Ballast

# APPENDIX B

Test Run Log

Vehicle: 2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD

Jonathan Robel

Driver:

Test Date: 5/15/2019

Run Number	Test Type	Speed (mph)	Handwheel Angle (deg)	Dir. of First Steer	2 Wheel Lift	Notes
1	Tire Warm-Up	35				
2	Ш	"				
3	Ш	"				
4	Ш	"				
5	2x SWA last cycle	"				
6	Static	0				
7	Steady State	50				
8	Slowly Increasing Steer	50	50	Left	NA	
9	H	"	"	F	F	
10	II	"	"	۳	н	
11	T	"	"	Right		
12	n	"	"	п		
13	Π	"	"	F	F	
14	Fishhook 6.5 Scalar	35	222	Left	No	
15	H	40	"	н		
16	H	45	"	F	F	
17	11	47.5	II	п	п	
18	"	50	"			
19	Fishhook 5.5 Scalar	45	188	Left	No	
20	11	47.5	"	"	"	
21	П	50	"	"	"	

# Vehicle: 2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD

Jonathan Robel

Driver:

Test Date: 5/15/2019

Run Number	Test Type	Speed (mph)	Handwheel Angle (deg)	Dir. of First Steer	2 Wheel Lift	Notes
22	Fishhook 6.5 Scalar	35	222	Right	No	
23	п	40	"	F	T	
24	n	45	"	"	"	
25	"	47.5	"	"	"	
26	п	50	"	F	T	
27	Fishhook 5.5 Scalar	45	188	Right	No	
28	п	47.5	"	۳	н	
29	п	50	"	"	"	

APPENDIX C

Slowly Increasing Steer Test Worksheet

## NCAP, 2019 Ford Ranger Supercab 4WD , Multi-Passenger Load, Test Date: 5/15/2019

SIS\_out\_v2

Run	Dir of Steer	Start Speed (mph)	End Speed (mph)	Speed Red. (%)	Index of ay @ 0.3g	HW Angle (deg) at 0.3g	ay (g) @ 0.3g index	6.5x HW Angle (deg)	Ramp Time (sec) at 6.5x	5.5x HW Angle (deg)	Ramp Time (sec) at 5.5x	R2	Zero Begin Index	Zero End Index
8	1	50.0	2.6	94.7	1309	-33.9	-0.296	-220.6	-0.3064	-186.7	-0.2593	0.9971	600	800
9	1	49.6	1.4	97.2	1323	-34.9	-0.293	-226.6	-0.3147	-191.7	-0.2663	0.9972	600	800
10	1	50.2	0.0	99.9	1326	-35.1	-0.299	-228.3	-0.3171	-193.2	-0.2683	0.9953	600	800
11	0	50.4	0.4	99.1	1304	33.7	0.308	218.9	0.3041	185.2	0.2573	0.9961	600	800
12	0	49.7	1.1	97.8	1307	33.9	0.310	220.2	0.3058	186.3	0.2587	0.9907	600	800
13	0	50.4	0.1	99.9	1307	33.9	0.303	220.4	0.3061	186.5	0.2590	0.9948	600	800

Mean: 34.2

0.302

222

0.309

188 0.261

## Steering Controller Input Values

Scalar 6.5 values:

Initial HW angle:	222	deg
Initial time:	0.309	s
Reversal HW angle:	-222	deg
Reversal time:	0.618	s

## Scalar 5.5 values:

Initial HW angle:	188	deg
Initial time:	0.261	s
Reversal HW angle:	-188	deg
Reversal time:	0.523	s

APPENDIX D

Time History Plots

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
D1.	Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots	
	for Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-3
D2.	Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for Default	
	Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-4
D3.	Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-5
D4.	Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-6
D5.	Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots	
	for Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-7
D6.	Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for	
	Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-8
D7.	Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-9
D8.	Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-10
D9.	Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots	
	for Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-11
D10.	Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for	
	Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-12
D11.	Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-13
D12.	Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph	D-14
D13.	Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots	
	for Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-15
D14.	Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for	
	Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-16
D15.	Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-17
D16.	Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for	
	Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph	D-18




Figure D1. Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots for Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph




Figure D2. Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph





Figure D3. Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots For Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph



2019 Ford Ranger, Date: 5-15-2019, MES = 50 mph,

Figure D4. Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for Default Test Series, L-R, 50 mph




Figure D5. Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots for Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph




Figure D6. Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph





Figure D7. Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph



2019 Ford Ranger, Date: 5-15-2019, MES = 50 mph,

Figure D8. Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots or Default Test Series, R-L, 50 mph




Figure D9. Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph




Figure D10. Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph




Figure D11. Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph




Figure D12. Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, L-R, 50 mph




Figure D13. Vehicle Speed, Handwheel Angle, and Roll Angle Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph




Figure D14. Steering Machine Operation Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph




Figure D15. Yaw Rate, Roll Rate, and Lateral Acceleration Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph




Figure D16. Pitch Rate and Longitudinal Acceleration Time History Plots for Supplemental 2 Test Series, R-L, 50 mph